



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,569	01/02/2002	Philip Atkin	GJEL:0003	5846
7590 12/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael G. Fletcher			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren P.O. Box 692289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			2615	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/038,569	ATKIN, PHILIP		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2615		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection is a ship standard to the the Section 1.	or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is objected to the Education of the Educ	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/02/2002.	4) lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/038,569

Art Unit: 2615

Response to Arguments

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mann (US Patent # 5,828,793) in view of Morrison et al. (US Patent # 5,033,096).

 [Claim 1]

Mann discloses a method of creating an image with a still video camera (col. 11 lines 43-46, figure 8, element 202). Mann further teaches that the image is transferred to a computer to be stored on a main memory 210 represented as 212₁, 212₂, 213₃ etc. (col. 11 lines 46-54). Mann also teaches that the composite images formed from a series of input images wherein every pixel of the composite image is drawn from the corresponding pixel in each of the input source images according to a weighted average. The weighting is based on a certainty function associated with each source image pixel corresponding to an output pixel in the final composite image. The value of the relevant pixel parameter for a given final-image pixel (weighted average of n samples) is given by

$$\sum_{n} c_{n} P_{n} / \sum_{n} c_{n}$$

where c_n is the certainty function associated with the corresponding pixel of each source image n (col. 6 line 51-col. 7 line 8). It is noted that P_n (pixel parameter) is dependent upon exposure time, brightness or luminance and the gain of the system. Mann teaches that the resulting pixel image represented by the expression above is saved in a target buffer 250 whose contents are shown on screen display 234 (col. 12 lines 32-49). The features such as gamma correction (other image data) are also stored in the target image data (col. 13 lines 4-8).

Mann fails to teach explicitly obtaining a substantially linear representation of the image. However Morrison et al. teach a method of summing the amount of light (brightness levels) of all the rows of pixels in order to generate a linear array of summation values in which the summation values are allocated to positions of the sensor values in the CCD array (col. 7 line 57 – col. 8 line 18, figure 5) in order to cancel the totally random noise errors in the signals from each element of the CCD by summing them so that the localized abnormalities do not have substantial effect on the value.

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Mann and Morrison, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have obtained a substantially linear representation of the image by summing them in order to cancel the totally random noise errors in the signals from each element of the CCD by summing them so that the localized abnormalities do not have substantial effect on the value as taught in Morrison (col. 3 lines 10-15).

[Claim 3/1]

Mann teaches that the different images are color so that the offset will be color dependent (col. 13 lines 21-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2 and 3/2 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest a linear relationship is established between images recorded with different exposure times by the use of a perpendicular regression technique whereby each image is transformed to match the scale and offset of the first in the series and whereby the weighted average is calculated.

5. Claim 3/2 is dependent upon claim 2.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA December 22, 2005

> DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER